



DEC 01 2005

2635 North First St. Suite 223
San Jose, CA 95134
Tel: 408.382.0480
Fax: 408.382.0481

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

Date:	November 30, 2005	Fax:	571-273-8300
To:	Examiner Kenneth B. Wells	Phone:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From:	Brian D. Ogonowsky
Serial No.:	10/666,688
Docket:	MIC-M084
Re:	Response to Advisory Action
Pages:	8 (including cover sheet)

Message:

Re: Applicant(s): Thomas S. Wong, Benjamin Chan, Phi Thai
Assignee: Micrel, Inc.
Title: Reducing Transient Current Caused By Capacitance During
High Speed Switching
Serial No.: 10/666,688
Examiner: Kenneth B. Wells Filed: September 18, 2003
Docket No.: MIC-M084 Group Art Unit: 2816

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith are the following documents in the above-identified application:

- (1) Transmittal Letter (1 page);
- (2) Credit Card Payment Form PTO-2038 (1 page);
- (3) Response to Advisory Action (5 pages).

The information contained in this message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and may be protected by the work product doctrine. As such, this document is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and destroy any copies of this document in your possession. Thank you.



DEC 01 2005 Brian D. Ogonowsky

Carmen C. Cook

David C. Hsia

Rachel V. Leiterman

November 30, 2005

Via Facsimile
Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re: Applicant(s): Thomas S. Wong, Benjamin Chan, Phi Thai
Assignee: Micrel, Inc.
Title: Reducing Transient Current Caused By Capacitance During High Speed Switching
Serial No.: 10/666,688
Examiner: Kenneth B. Wells Filed: September 18, 2003
Docket No.: MIC-M084 Group Art Unit: 2816

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith are the following documents in the above-identified application:

- (1) This Transmittal Letter (1 page);
- (2) Credit Card Payment Form PTO-2038 (1 page);
- (3) Response to Advisory Action (5 pages).

☒ The fee has been calculated as shown below:


	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For		Present Extra	Rate		Additional Fee
Total Claims	5	Minus	20	=	0	x \$50	\$	0.00
Independent Claims	3	Minus	3	=	0	x \$200	\$	0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee of _____ for the first filing of one or more multiple dependent claims per application							\$	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Fee (1 month)							\$	120.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please charge our credit card (Form PTO-2038 enclosed) in the amount of						Total	\$	120.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Also, charge any additional fees required and credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 502226.								

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.


Signature _____ Date 11/30/05

Respectfully submitted,


David C. Hsia for
Reg. No. 40,235

Brian D. Ogonowsky 02/2005 MBINAS 00000030 10666688
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 31,988 01 FC:1251 120.00 0P

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

DEC 01 2005

Applicant: Thomas S. Wong et al.
Assignee: Micrel, Inc.
Title: Reducing Transient Current Caused By Capacitance During High Speed Switching
Serial No.: 10/666,688 Filing Date: September 18, 2003
Examiner: Kenneth B. Wells Group Art Unit: 2816
Docket No.: MIC-M084

San Jose, California
November 30, 2005

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO ADVISORY ACTION

Dear Sir:

This responds to the Advisory Action mailed November 8, 2005. The final Office Action was mailed on August 5, 2005.

The Claims 1, 2, 9, and 10 are presumably allowable since the limitations from the "objected to" claims have been merged into the independent Claims 1 and 9 in the last response. Only Claim 15 is rejected. This paper discusses why the cited patent to Murden (5,550,492) does not anticipate or suggest Claim 15.

Remarks begin on page 2 of this paper.